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Reentrancy

- Signal handler can interrupt a program at any moment
  - \(\Rightarrow\) handler and main program are semantically equivalent to two simultaneous flows of execution inside process
    - Like two “threads”, but not the same as POSIX threads (handler does not execute in parallel with main program)
- A function is reentrant if it can safely be simultaneously executed by multiple threads
  - Safe \(==\) function achieves same result regardless of state of other threads (flows) of execution
Nonreentrant functions

Functions that update global/static variables are **not** reentrant; examples:

- Some functions by their nature operate on global data
  - e.g., `malloc()` and `free()` maintain a global linked list of free memory blocks
  - Suppose main program is executing `malloc()` and is interrupted by a signal handler that also calls `malloc()`...
  - Two “threads” updating linked list at same time ⇒ chaos!

- Functions that use static data structures for internal bookkeeping are nonreentrant
  - e.g., `stdio` functions do this for buffered I/O
    - `stdio` stream has buffer + pointers / counters

- C library is rife with nonreentrant functions!
  - Man pages usually give some indication that functions that are nonreentrant

Async-signal-safe functions

- An async-signal-safe function is one that can be safely called from a signal handler

- A function can be async-signal-safe because either
  - It is reentrant
  - It is not interruptible by a signal handler
    - (Atomic with respect to signals)

- POSIX specifies a set of functions required to be async-signal-safe
  - See `signal-safety(7)` or TLPI Table 21-1
  - Set is a minority of functions specified in POSIX
    - ~190 out of ~1175 functions in POSIX.1-2008/SUSv4

- No guarantees about functions not on the list
  - `⚠️ stdio` functions are **not** on the list
Signal handlers and async-signal-safety

- Executing a function inside a signal handler is unsafe only if handler interrupted execution of an unsafe function
- \( \Rightarrow \) Two choices:
  - Ensure that signal handler calls only async-signal-safe functions
  - Main program blocks signals when calling unsafe functions or working with global data also used by handler
- Second choice can be difficult to implement in complex programs
  - \( \Rightarrow \) Simplify rule: call only async-signal-safe functions inside a signal handler

Signal handlers can themselves be nonreentrant

- \( \mathbf{\Delta} \) Signal handler can also be nonreentrant if it updates global data used by main program
- A common case: handler calls functions that update \texttt{errno}
- Solution:

```c
void handler(int sig)
{
    int savedErrno = errno;
    /* Execute functions that might modify errno */
    errno = savedErrno;
}
```
The `sig_atomic_t` data type

- Contradiction:
  - Good design: handler sets global flag checked by `main()`
  - Sharing global variables between handler & `main()` is unsafe
    - Because accesses may not be atomic
- Even on x86, we can make nonatomic integers with a bit of effort; for example:
  - Operating on a 64-bit integer on x86-32 platform ([signals/nonatomic_uint64.c](signals/nonatomic_uint64.c))
  - Operating on an integer (unnaturally) aligned across cache-line boundary ([threads/nonatomic.c](threads/nonatomic.c))
    - E.g., handler is called in one thread while integer is accessed in another thread

POSIX defines an integer data type that can be safely shared between handler and `main()`:
- `sig_atomic_t`
- Range: `SIG_ATOMIC_MIN..SIG_ATOMIC_MAX` (`<stdint.h>`)
- Read and write guaranteed atomic
- ⚠️ Other operations (e.g., `++` and `--`) **not** guaranteed atomic (i.e., not safe)
- Specify `volatile` qualifier to prevent optimizer tricks

```c
volatile sig_atomic_t flag;
```
Exercises

Examine the source code of the program `signals/unsafe_printf.c`, which can be used to demonstrate that calling `printf()` both from the main program and from a signal handler is unsafe. The program performs the following steps:

- Establishes a handler for the `SIGINT` signal (the control-C signal). The handler uses `printf()` to print out the string “ssssss\n”.
- After the main program has established the signal handler, it pauses until control-C is pressed for the first time, and then loops forever using `printf()` to print out the string “mmmmmm\n”

Before running the program, start up two shells in separate terminal windows as follows (the `ls` command will display an error until the `out.txt` file is actually created):

```bash
$ watch ps -C unsafe_printf
$ cd signals
$ watch ls -l out.txt
```

In another terminal window, run the `unsafe_printf` program as follows, and then hold down the control-C key continuously:

```bash
$ cd signals
$ ./unsafe_printf > out.txt
^C^C^C
```

Observe the results from the `watch` commands in the other two terminal windows. After some time, it is likely that you will see that the file stops growing in size, and that the program ceases consuming CPU time because of a deadlock in the `stdio` library. Even if this does not happen, after holding the control-C key down for 15 seconds, kill the program using control-\.

Inside the `out.txt` file, there should in theory be only lines that contain “mmmmmm\n” or “ssssss\n”. However, because of unsafe executions of `printf()`, it is likely that there will be lines containing other strings. Verify this using the following command:

```bash
$ egrep -n -v '^(mmmmmm|ssssss)$' < out.txt
```
Exercises

Examine the source code of `signals/unsafe_malloc.c`, which can be used to demonstrate that calling `malloc()` and `free()` from both the main program and a signal handler is unsafe. Within this program, a handler for `SIGINT` allocates multiple blocks of memory using `malloc()` and then frees them using `free()`. Similarly, the main program contains a loop that allocates multiple blocks of memory and then frees them.

In one terminal window, run the following command:

```
$ watch -n 1 ps -C unsafe_malloc
```

In another terminal window, run the `unsafe_malloc` program, and then hold down the control-C key until either:

- you see the program crash with a corruption diagnostic from `malloc()` or `free()`; or
- the `ps` command shows that the amount of CPU time consumed by the process has ceased to increase, indicating that the program has deadlocked inside a call to `malloc()` or `free()`.
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10.2 Interrupted system calls

What if a signal handler interrupts a blocked system call?

Example:
- Install handler for (say) **SIGALRM**
- Perform a `read()` on terminal that blocks, waiting for input
- **SIGALRM** is delivered
- What happens when handler returns?

`read()` fails with **EINTR** (“interrupted system call”)

Can deal with this by manually restarting call:

```c
while ((cnt = read(fd, buf, BUF_SIZE)) == -1 && errno == EINTR)
    continue;  /* Do nothing loop body */
if (cnt == -1)  /* Error other than EINTR */
    errExit("read");
```

[TLPI §21.5]
Automatically restarting system calls: SA_RESTART

- Specifying SA_RESTART in sa_flags when installing a handler causes system calls to automatically restart
  - SA_RESTART is a per-signal flag
- More convenient than manually restarting, but...
  - Not all system calls automatically restart
  - Set of system calls that restart varies across UNIX systems
  - (Origin of variation is historical)

Most (all?) modern systems restart at least:
- wait(), waitpid()
- I/O system calls on “slow devices”
  - i.e., devices where I/O can block (pipes, sockets, ...)
  - read(), readv(), write(), writev()

On Linux:
- Certain other system calls also automatically restart
- Remaining system calls never restart, regardless of SA_RESTART
- See TLPI §21.5 and signal(7) for details

Bottom line: If you need cross-system portability, omit SA_RESTART and always manually restart
Receiving extra signal information: SA_SIGINFO

- Specifying SA_SIGINFO in sa_flags argument of sigaction() causes signal handler to be invoked with extra arguments
- Handler declared as:

```c
void handler(int sig, siginfo_t *siginfo, void *ucontext);
```

- `sig` is the signal number
- `siginfo` points to structure returning extra info about signal
- `ucontext` is rarely used (no portable uses)
  - See getcontext(3) and swapcontext(3)

[TLPI §21.4]
Receiving extra signal information: SA_SIGINFO

- Handler address is passed via `act.sa_sigaction` field (not the usual `act.sa_handler`)

```c
struct sigaction act;

sigemptyset(&act.sa_mask);
act.sa_sigaction = handler;
act.sa_flags = SA_SIGINFO;
sigaction(SIGINT, &act, NULL);
```

The `siginfo_t` data type

- `siginfo_t` is a structure containing additional info about delivered signal; fields include:
  - `si_signo`: signal number (same as first arg. to handler)
  - `si_code`: additional info about cause of signal
  - `si_pid`: PID of process sending signal (if sent by a process)
  - `si_uid`: real UID of sending process (if sent by a process)
  - `si_value`: data accompanying realtime signal sent with `sigqueue()
  - And other signal-type-specific fields, such as:
    - `si_addr`: memory location that caused fault; filled in for hardware-generated signals (SIGSEGV, SIGFPE, etc.)
    - `si_fd`: FD that generated a signal (signal-driven I/O)
  - See `sigaction(2)` and TLPI §21.4 for more information
The problem

- Before executing signal handler, kernel must modify some *kernel-maintained* process context
  - Signal mask, signal stack (*signaltstack()*)
  - (Registers will also be modified during handler execution, and so must be saved)
    
    *Easy, because kernel has control at this point*

- Upon return from signal handler, previous context must be restored
  - *But, at this point we are in user mode; kernel has no control*

- **How does kernel regain control in order to restore context?**
  - ⇒ the “signal trampoline”
The kernel uses the signal trampoline to arrange that control is bounced back to kernel after execution of signal handler.

When is a signal delivered?

- In a moment, we consider what’s required to execute a signal handler.
- But first of all, when is a signal delivered?
  - Signals are asynchronously delivered to process, but...
  - Only on transitions from kernel space back to user space.
Steps in the execution of a signal handler

The following steps occur in the execution of a signal handler:

- A hardware interrupt occurs
  - E.g., scheduler timer interrupt, or syscall trap instruction
  - Process is scheduled off CPU
  - Kernel gains control & receives various process context info, which it saves
    - E.g., register values (program counter, stack pointer, etc.)
- Upon completion of interrupt handling, kernel resumes execution of a process, and discovers it has a pending signal
  - This happens:
    - Upon return from a system call; or
    - When the kernel chooses a process to schedule after a scheduler timer interrupt

To allow signal to be handled, the kernel:

- Saves process context information onto user-space stack
  - Context == CPU registers (PC, SP), signal mask, and more
  - Saved context will be used later by `sigreturn()`...
- See, e.g., `struct rt_sigframe` definition in `arch/x86/include/asm/sigframe.h`
  - Saved context information is visible via third argument of `SA_SIGINFO` handler, which is really `ucontext_t *`; see also `ucontext_t` definition in `<sys/ucontext.h>`
- Constructs frame on user-space stack for signal handler
  - Sets return address in frame to point to “signal trampoline”
  - Rearranges trap return address so that upon return to user space, control passes to signal handler
- Control returns to user space
  - Handler is called; handler returns to trampoline
Steps in the execution of a signal handler

- Trampoline code calls `sigreturn(2)`
  - Now, the kernel once more has control!
  - `sigreturn()` restores signal context
    - Signal mask, alternate signal stack
  - `sigreturn()` restores saved registers
    - Including program counter ⇒ next return to user space will resume execution where handler interrupted main program
  - Info needed by `sigreturn()` to do its work was saved earlier on user-space stack
    - For example, see code of, and calls to, `setup_sigcontext()` and `restore_sigcontext()` in kernel source file `arch/x86/kernel/signal.c`

- Trampoline code is in user space (in C library or `vdso(7)`)
  - If in C library, address is made available to kernel via `sa_restorer` field (done by `sigaction()` wrapper function)

---

**sigreturn()**

- `sigreturn()`:
  - Special system call used only by signal trampoline
  - Uses saved context to restore state and resume program execution at point where it was interrupted by handler
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1. Stack while signal handler is executing
2. Stack upon return into trampoline code
3. Stack after `sigreturn()` completes and main resumes