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So far, we have two methods of informing the dynamic linker (DL) of location of a shared library:

- **LD_LIBRARY_PATH**
  - Installing library in one of the standard directories

Third method: during static linking, we can **insert a list of directories into the executable**

- So-called “run-time library path (**rpath**) list”
- At run time, DL will search listed directories to resolve dynamic dependencies
- Useful if libraries will reside in locations that are fixed, but not in standard list
Defining an rpath list when linking

- To embed an rpath list in an executable, use the `-rpath` linker option (`gcc -Wl,-rpath,path-to-lib-dir`)
  - Multiple `-rpath` options can be specified ⇒ ordered list
  - Alternatively, multiple directories can be specified as a colon-separated list in a single `-rpath` option

- Example:
  ```
  $ cc -g -Wall -Wl,-rpath,$PWD -o prog prog.c libdemo.so
  $ objdump -p prog | grep 'R[UN] PATH'
  RPATH /home/mtk/tlpi/code/shlibs
  $ ./prog
  Called mod1-x1
  Called mod2-x2
  ```

  - Embeds current working directory in rpath list
  - `objdump` command allows us to inspect rpath list
  - Executable now “tells” DL where to find shared library

Shared libraries can have rpath lists

- Shared libraries can themselves have dependencies
  - ⇒ can use `-rpath` linker option to embed rpath lists when building shared libraries
An rpath improvement: **DT_RUNPATH**

There are **two types of rpath list:**

- Differ in precedence relative to **LD_LIBRARY_PATH**
- Original (and default) rpath list has higher precedence
  - **DT_RPATH** ELF entry
- The original rpath behavior was a **design error**
  - We want user to have full control when using **LD_LIBRARY_PATH**

---

**Newer rpath type has lower precedence**

- Gives user possibility to override rpath at runtime using **LD_LIBRARY_PATH** *(usually what we want)*
- **DT_RUNPATH** ELF entry
  - Supported in DL since 1999
- **Use:** `cc -Wl,-rpath,some-dir-path -Wl,--enable-new-dtags`
  - `binutils` 2.24 (2013) and later: inserts only **DT_RUNPATH** entry
  - Before `binutils` 2.24, inserted **DT_RUNPATH** and **DT_RPATH** (to allow for old DLs that didn’t understand **DT_RUNPATH**)

- If both types of rpath list are embedded in an object, **DT_RUNPATH** **has precedence**
  - I.e., DL ignores **DT_RPATH** list
“Magic” names in rpath lists

- DL understands certain special names in rpath list
  - Written as $NAME or ${NAME}
- $ORIGIN: expands to directory containing program or library
  - Write turn-key applications!
  - Installer unpacks tarball containing application with library in (say) a subdirectory; application can be linked with:

```
cc -Wl,-rpath,'$ORIGIN/lib'
```

⚠️⚠️ Note use of quotes to prevent interpretation of $ by shell!

- $LIB: expands to lib or lib64, depending on architecture
  - E.g., useful on multi-arch platforms to supply 32-bit or 64-bit library, as appropriate
- $PLATFORM: expands to string corresponding to processor type (e.g., x86_64)
  - Rpath entry can include arch-specific directory component
- DL also understands these names in some other contexts
  - LD_LIBRARY_PATH, LD_PRELOAD, & LD_AUDIT; see ld.so(8)
Finding shared libraries at run time

When resolving dependencies in dynamic dependency list, DL deals with each dependency string as follows:

- If the string contains a slash ⇒ interpret dependency as a relative or absolute pathname
- Otherwise, search for shared library using these rules
  1. If object has DT_RPATH list and does not have DT_RUNPATH list, search directories in DT_RPATH list
  2. If LD_LIBRARY_PATH defined, search directories it specifies
     - For security reasons, LD_LIBRARY_PATH is ignored in “secure” mode (set-UID and set-GID programs, etc.)
  3. If object has DT_RUNPATH list, search directories in that list
  4. Check /etc/ld.so.cache for a corresponding entry
  5. Search /lib and /usr/lib (in that order)
     - Or /lib64 and /usr/lib64

[TLPI §41.11]
Exercises

The directory `shlibs/mysleep` contains two files:

- `mysleep.c`: implements a function, `mysleep(nsecs)`, which prints a message and calls `sleep()` to sleep for `nsecs` seconds.
- `mysleep_main.c`: takes one argument that is an integer string. The program calls `mysleep()` with the numeric value specified in the command-line argument.

Using these files, perform the following steps to create a shared library and executable in the same directory:

- Build a shared library from `mysleep.c`.
- Compile and link `mysleep_main.c` against the shared library to produce an executable that embeds an rpath list with the run-time location of the shared library (specified as an absolute path, e.g., use the value of `$PWD`).
- Verify that you can successfully run the executable without the use of `LD_LIBRARY_PATH`.

[Exercise continues on following slide]

Try moving both the executable and the shared library to a different directory. What now happens when you try to run the executable? Why?

Now employ an rpath list that uses the `$ORIGIN` string:

- Modify the previous example so that you create an executable with an rpath list containing the string `$ORIGIN/sub`. Remember to use single quotes around `$ORIGIN`!
- Copy the executable to some directory, and copy the shared library to a subdirectory, `sub`, under that directory. Verify that the program runs successfully.
- If you move both the executable and the directory `sub` (which still contains the shared library) to a different location, is it still possible to run the executable?
Run-time symbol resolution

Suppose main program and shared library both define a function \( \text{xyz}() \), and another function inside library calls \( \text{xyz}() \)

To which symbol does reference to \( \text{xyz}() \) resolve?

The results may seem a little surprising:

- Definition in main program overrides version in library!

```
$ cc -g -c -fPIC -Wall foo.c
$ cc -g -shared -o libfoo.so foo.o
$ cc -g -o prog prog.c libfoo.so
$ LD_LIBRARY_PATH=. ./prog
main - xyz
```
Maintaining historical semantics

- Surprising, but good historical reason for this behavior
- Shared libraries are designed to mirror traditional static library semantics:
  - Definition of global symbol in main program overrides version in library
  - Global symbol appears in multiple libraries?
    - ⇒ reference is resolved to first definition when scanning libraries in left-to-right order as specified in static link command line
- Makes transition from static to shared libraries easy

Maintaining historical semantics can cause complications

- But, default symbol resolution semantics conflict with model of shared library as a self-contained subsystem
  - Shared library can’t guarantee that reference to its own global symbols will bind to those symbols at run time
  - Properties of shared library may change when it is aggregated into larger system
- Can be desirable to force references to global symbols within a shared library to resolve to library’s own symbols
Forcing global symbol references to resolve inside library

- **-Bsymbolic** linker option causes references to global symbols within shared library to resolve to library’s own symbols

```
$ cc -g -c -fPIC -Wall foo.c
$ cc -g -shared -Wl,-Bsymbolic -o libfoo.so foo.o
$ cc -g -o prog prog.c libfoo.so
$ LD_LIBRARY_PATH=. ./prog
  foo-xyz
```

- ELF **DT_SYMBOLIC** tag
- **⚠️** Affects all symbols in shared library!
  - Other techniques can obtain this behavior on a per-symbol basis
    - (Described later)

Symbol resolution and library load order

```
......main_prog.......  
 |             |         |             |
| libx1.so   | liby1.so | libz1.so    |
| abc(){...} |         | call abc()  |
| libx2.so   | liby2.so | libz2.so    |
| abc(){...} | xyz(){...} |         |
| xyz(){...} |           | libz3.so    |
|           |           | xyz(){...}  |
```

- Main program has three dynamic dependencies
- Some libraries on which main has dependencies in turn have dependencies
  - **Note:** main program has no direct dependencies other than `libx1.so, liby1.so, and libz1.so`
    - Likewise, `libz1.so` has no direct dependency on `libz3.so`
Symbol resolution and library load order

Symbol resolution and library load order

------main_prog--------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>libx1.so</th>
<th>liby1.so</th>
<th>libz1.so</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abc() {...}</td>
<td>call abc()</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>libx2.so</th>
<th>liby2.so</th>
<th>libz2.so</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abc() {...}</td>
<td>xyz() {...}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>libx2.so</th>
<th>liby1.so</th>
<th>libz3.so</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xyz() {...}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- libx2.so and liby1.so both define public function abc()
- When abc() is called from inside libz1.so, which instance of abc() is invoked?
- Call to abc() resolves to definition in liby1.so

Dependent libraries are added in breadth-first order
- Immediate dependencies of main program are loaded first
- Then dependencies of those dependencies, and so on
  - Libraries that are already loaded are skipped (but are reference counted)
- Symbols are resolved by searching libraries in load order
Symbol resolution and library load order

A quiz...

- `libx2.so`, `liby2.so`, and `libz3.so` all define public function `xyz()`

- When `xyz()` is called from inside `libz1.so`, which instance of `xyz()` is invoked?

- Call to `xyz()` resolves to definition in `libx2.so`

Link-map lists ("namespaces")

- Set of shared objects that have been loaded by application is recorded on a **link-map list** (AKA "namespace")
  - Doubly linked list that is arranged in library load order
  - See definition of `struct link_map` in `<link.h>`
  - `dl_iterate_phdr(3)` can be used to iterate through link map
    - (Manual page has an example program)
  - See also `dlinfo(3)`, which obtains info about a dynamically loaded object
The LD_DEBUG environment variable

- **LD_DEBUG** can be used to monitor operation of dynamic linker
  - **LD_DEBUG=value** prog
  - To list **LD_DEBUG** options, without executing program:

```
$ LD_DEBUG=help ./prog
Valid options for the LD_DEBUG environment variable are:
  libs    display library search paths
  reloc   display relocation processing
  files   display progress for input file
  symbols display symbol table processing
  bindings display information about symbol binding
  versions display version dependencies
  scopes  display scope information
  all     all previous options combined
  statistics display relocation statistics
  unused  determined unused DSOs
  help    display this help message and exit
```

To direct the debugging output into a file instead of standard output a filename can be specified using the **LD_DEBUG_OUTPUT** environment variable.

- **TLPI §42.6**
Exercises

The files in the directory `shlibs/sym_res_load_order` set up the scenario shown earlier under the heading *Symbol resolution and library load order* (slide 4-23). The main program uses `dl_iterate_phdr()` to display the link-map order of the loaded shared objects.

1. Inspect the source code used to build the various shared libraries.
2. Use `make(1)` to build the shared libraries and the main program, and use the following command to run the program in order to verify the link-map order and also to see which versions of `abc()` and `xyz()` are called from inside `libz1.so`:

   ```
   LD_LIBRARY_PATH=. ./main
   ```

3. Run the program using `LD_DEBUG=libs` and use the dynamic linker’s debug output to verify the order in which the shared libraries are loaded.

4. Run the program using `LD_DEBUG=symbols` and use the dynamic linker’s debug output to discover which definitions the calls to `abc()` and `xyz()` bind to.

Notes